Alternate Means of Constraining (via knowledge gained from photogrammetry) a Search:

Rather than selecting a point in one image and asking the question, where is the corresponding or conjugate point in the second image?, ask the following question: Given a *planimetric location* in object space (on the ground), what is the elevation that gives the best match between the pair of sub-image patches, obtained by projecting the candidate points into the images?

This is referred to as the Vertical Line Locus or VLL method. First suggested by Maurice Geyer ~ early 1980's

Key advantages of this method: (1) it is constrained by knowledge from photogrammetry (2D -> 1D), (2) it works with *any* sensor model – not just frame. (there are many variations on this method), and (3) you can produce a *regular* grid (i.e. national database)







This method can function as either a search or refinement method depending on the spacing and extend of the object patches, and on the pyramid level of the imagery



Project each of these object patches into each of the two images – evaluate the similarity of each pair, select that pair with the best match – that elevation corresponds to the terrain elevation at that point Key insight to make the method stable and efficient: do it in a *hierarchical* approach. That is start with downsampled imagery (top of pyramid) and a coarse point density and a coarse elevation spacing of the object planes. Then progressively move down the image pyramid, densify the point grid, densify the elevation search, and reduce the extent of the elevation search.

Each subsequent step starts with a coarse terrain model from the prior step and refines in both in density and in accuracy.

## Image Pyramid – Successive 2x Downsampling – for Hierarchical Processing



Start at the "top" get coarse results from low resolution imagery quickly – then drop down a level and use prior level results as a starting point and limit search to refine – continue to the bottom making last refinement step using the highest spatial resolution imagery.

CE 603 – Photogrammetry II – Spring 2003 – Purdue University

1:20000 NTC Model – benign terrain for DEM generation Small/medium scale, minimal vegetation



















## Application of Generated DEM: Orthorectification

Each pixel is now in its planimetrically correct location – add ESRI world file and you are georeferenced – also easy to merge with DEM for visualization – VRML, etc.



#VRML V2.0 utf8 Group { children [ # Viewpoints Viewpoint { description "view 1" position 1900.0 4012.9 1000.0 orientation 1.0 0.0 0.0 -1.57 }, Viewpoint { description "view 2" position 3317.9 3182.3 2417.9 orientation 0.6786 -0.6786 -0.2811 -1.0961 }, # Navigation NavigationInfo { type "EXAMINE" speed 1.0 headlight TRUE avatarSize [1.0,1.0,1.0] }, # Lighting DirectionalLight { on TRUE intensity 1.0 ambientIntensity 1.0 color 1.0 1.0 1.0 direction 0.0 -1.0 0.0 },

VRML – Virtual Reality Modeling Language

```
Shape {
 appearance Appearance {
  material Material { }
  texture ImageTexture { url "o.jpg" }
 geometry ElevationGrid {
  xDimension 190
  zDimension 100
               20.0
  xSpacing
  zSpacing
               20.0
  solid
            FALSE
  creaseAngle 0.785
  height [
976.5, 974.5, 972.3, 972.5, 972.2, 971.9, 970.2, 970.0, 969.7, 969.5,
969.5, 969.9, 970.9, 968.9, 969.9, 970.4, 971.4, 972.4, 972.9, 972.4,
972.9, 973.4, 974.6, 975.8, 976.5, 978.2, 978.7, 980.2, 981.2, 982.8,
984.4, 985.5, 985.5, 985.6, 987.2, 987.3, 987.4, 987.5, 988.8, 988.2,
988.1, 989.4, 988.8, 989.2, 988.5, 988.9, 989.6, 989.8, 990.5, 991.2,
992.7, 992.7, 993.2, 993.2, 994.0, 994.4, 994.7, 995.5, 996.3, 996.7,
998.0, 998.4, 998.9, 999.5, 1000.0, 1000.6, 1000.9, 1001.2, 1002.1, 1002.9,
1003.8, 1005.2, 1005.7, 1006.6, 1007.3, 1007.6, 1008.8, 1009.0, 1010.2, 1010.4,
1012.1, 1013.2, 1014.9, 1017.6, 1019.3, 1020.1, 1020.8, 1021.0, 1021.2, 1020.5,
```

## Continuation of VRML code for the NTC DEM & ortho image



















