Reduced-Rank Linear Equalization for the Forward Link in High-Speed CDMA

Samina Chowdhury

PhD Preliminary Examination, Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University.

Major Professor : Michael D. Zoltowski

November 17, 2000

Outline

- ★ CDMA Forward Link
 - ► Rake Receivers
- * Chip-level MMSE Equalizers
 - ➤ Single and Multiple Base-station Scenario
- ★ The Multi-Stage Nested Wiener Filter
- * Simulation Results
 - ➤ Known Channels
 - ► Training-based Adaptation
- \bigstar Structured Equalizers in Sparse Multipath
- ***** Conclusions and Future Work



1













CDMA Downlink : Problems

- Orthogonal Walsh-Hadamard codes used to spread data symbols – perfect separation of desired signal in *flat fading* scenario.
 - Walsh-Hadamard
 codes have poor auto-correlation and cross-correlation
 properties at nonzero lag values





5







Downlink Specific *Linear* Equalizers Features

- ★ Equalizer restores "chip sequence", followed by despreading with channel code times long code
- \star The equalizer is independent of the user's channel code.
- \star Equalizer is unchanged over coherence time of downlink channel
- ★ Multiple antennas at mobile receiver provide space-time diversity - increases cost and power consumption of the mobile unit
- ★ Chip-level MMSE equalizer proposed independently by
 <u>I. Ghauri and D. Slock</u>, <u>C. Frank and E. Visotsky</u> and later by
 <u>T. Krauss and M. D. Zoltowski</u>.







9

Chip-level MMSE Equalizers







The MMSE solution is in the form of the classical Wiener filter

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_{dx}$$



Drawbacks

- ♦ Direct computation of the MMSE equalizer requires estimate of \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1} .
 - Equalizer may have to be many chips in length slow convergence in adaptive implementations



Proposed Solution :

- Implement a low-complexity reduced-rank approximation of the full-rank chip-level MMSE equalizer.
- Compare performace of the reduced-rank equalizer to the full-rank Wiener filter and other reduced-rank equalizers.
- Evaluate convergence properties in stationary and non-stationary environment.

Multi-Stage Nested Wiener Filter

First formulated by J.S. Goldstein and I.S. Reed

[1] J. S. Goldstein, I. S. Reed and L. L. Scharf. "A Multistage Representation of the Wiener Filter based on Orthogonal Projections". *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, Nov. 1998.











Multi-Stage Nested Wiener Filter Properties : Contd.

- * The pyramidal decomposition decorrelates \mathbf{x}_0 at lags greater than one, resulting in an output $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_N = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & d_2 & \cdots & d_N \end{bmatrix}^T$ characterized by a *tridiagonal* covariance matrix
- * The nested scalar Wiener filters operate on $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}_N$ to form an uncorrelated error vector $\tilde{\epsilon}_N = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 & \epsilon_2 & \cdots & \epsilon_N \end{bmatrix}^T$
- * Choosing $\mathbf{B}_k = I \mathbf{p}_k \mathbf{p}_k^H$ results in filters $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k, \dots$ which are mutually orthogonal, and of the same length N



Rank Reduction with the Multi-Stage Nested Wiener Filter

 $\Leftrightarrow M_D = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \mathbf{p}_2 & \dots & \mathbf{p}_D \end{bmatrix}$ forms an orthonormal basis for \mathbf{w}_D

$$\boldsymbol{\otimes} \ \mathbf{w}_{D} \text{ lies in Krylov subspace spanned by}$$

$$T_{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{dx} & \mathbf{R}_{xx}\mathbf{r}_{dx} & \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{2}\mathbf{r}_{dx} & \dots & \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{D-1}\mathbf{r}_{dx} \end{bmatrix}$$

As the number of stages increase, the process \mathbf{x}_k tends to become white, and the filter \mathbf{p}_{k+1} goes to zero — the optimal MSE is then achieved at that stage



And the stand Multi-Stage Nested Wiener Filter Equivalent Analysis Filterbank MSNWF but can be replaced as follows The 'blocking matrix' is a very useful concept to develop the \mathbf{x}_{k-1} Low-complexity Implementation of the MSNWF \mathbf{p}_k d_k \mathbf{p}_k \mathbf{X}_k $\mathbf{x}_{k} = \mathbf{B}_{k}^{H} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} = [I - \mathbf{p}_{k} \mathbf{p}_{k}^{H}] \mathbf{x}_{k-1}$ $d_k = \mathbf{p}_k^H \mathbf{x}_{k-1}$ $= \mathbf{x}_{k-1} - d_k \mathbf{p}_k$ Samina Chowdhury

20



Simulation Parameters for CDMA Downlink

- > Chip-rate 3.6864 MHz $(T_c = 0.27 \mu s)$
- > Spreading factor = 64
- ➤ BPSK Data Symbols
- ➤ Walsh-Hadamard Channel Codes
- > QPSK scrambling code, length 32768.
- > Square-root raised cosine chip waveform, $\beta = 0.22$
- Receiver uses chipmatched filtering

- > 4 equal power multipaths, randomly in between 0 and $10 \ \mu s \ (\approx 37 \text{ chips})$
- Arrival times at 2 antennas the same, with independent fading
- Saturated system 64 equal power users
- \succ Equalizer length 57 chips
- > Delay D_c chosen so as to minimize MSE

Simulation Parameters : Two Base-stations

- ► Equal power received signals from two base-stations
- > 4 equal power multipath arrivals from 2nd base-station, with random delays and a maximum delay spread of 10 μs
- ➤ Received signal sampled at twice chip rate to get $y_{1i}[n] = y_i[nT_c]$ and $y_{2i}[n] = y_i[nT_c + T_c/2]$
- Soft Hand-off Desired signal transmitted from both base-stations, receiver designs equalizers for both and combines the two outputs

























Training based Adaptive MSNWF

- ✤ Cannot train the equalizer on the chip-rate 'sum signal' as the mobile does not know all the active channel codes and data symbols
- ✤ Instead, we use the pilot channel of CDMA downlink, which has a known code and known symbols
- ✤ We employ 'block-adaptive' lattice-type MSNWF with Initialization :

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathbf{x}[i] d_0^*[i] = \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{dx}$$

✤ We assume the channels are time invariant during the period of interest



The symbol estimate is given by X $N_c - 1$ $\hat{b}_{1}[m] = \sum_{i=0}^{N_{c}} \left\{ \mathbf{g}_{c}^{H} \mathbf{y}[n] \right\} c_{bs}^{*}[n+i]c_{1}^{*}[i]$ $\equiv \mathbf{g}_{c}^{H} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{H}[m] \tilde{\mathbf{y}}[m],$ where $n = mN_c + D_c$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}[m] = \begin{bmatrix} y[n+N_c-1] & \dots & y[n] & \dots & y[n-N_g+1] \end{bmatrix}^T$ $\mathbf{C}_{1}[m] = \begin{bmatrix} c_{bs}[mN_{c}+N_{c}-1]c_{1}[N_{c}-1] & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ c_{bs}[mN_{c}]c_{1}[0] & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}$



















Future Work : Reduced-Rank Adaptive Equalization

- ♦ Simulate time-varying Rayleigh-faded channels
 - \rightarrow Arrival times are fixed, but multipath gains vary
 - \rightarrow Multipath delays change slowly
- Compare 'block-adaptive' vs. 'symbol-recursive' MSNWF algorithms as the Doppler spread is increased
- Devise a better training method that would not require correlation with long code over the effective delay spread



Complexity Issues

- ★ In block-adaptive 'lattice' MSNWF, no need to store any $N \times N$ matrices
- ★ a *D*-stage 'lattice' MSNWF has complexity in the order of $\mathcal{O}(NN_tD)$, where N_t is the block size
- ★ The RLS algorithm requires $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ computations for each iteration
- ★ Symbol update MSNWF algorithm requires $\mathcal{O}(N^2D)$ computations per iteration
- ★ We propose to do a thorough analysis of the computational complexity of the MSNWF.



Structured Equalizer in Sparse Multipath

Previously, we showed $\mathbf{r}_{dx} = \mathcal{H} oldsymbol{\delta}_{D_c} = \mathcal{H}(:, D_c)$

If $N_g = L$ and $D_c = L - 1$

$$\mathbf{r}_{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{I}} & \tilde{\mathbf{I}} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{I}} & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_1 \\ \mathbf{h}_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } \tilde{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Channel Model :

$$h_i(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_m - 1} h_{c_i}[k] p_{rc}(t - \tau_k)$$

Assume $\tau_k = KT_c, \ k = 1 \dots L_c$

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{G} \ \mathbf{h}_{c_i}$$

where **G** is the convolution matrix associated with $p_{rc}(t)$,

and \mathbf{h}_{c_i} is vector of multipath coefficients.





where \mathbf{G}_m contains only the L_c columns of \mathbf{IG} corresponding to τ_k and \mathbf{h}_m is the corresponding multipath gains

Thus the chip-level MMSE equalizer has the form

$$\mathbf{g}_c = \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}} \, \mathbf{h}_m$$

subspace by forming Take projection of observed data vector onto a rank $2L_c \ll 2L$

$$\mathbf{x}_{r}[m] = \mathcal{G}^{H} \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{H}[m] \tilde{\mathbf{y}}[m]$$

A Land

SINR vs. Time for Structured Projected Equalizers Arrival Times at Exact Chip Periods









Generalized Arrival Times

When the multipath arrival times are not exact multiples of T_c

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{G} \, \mathbf{h}_{c_i}$$

is only an approximate relation.

 \mathbf{G}_m now contains two consecutive columns of $\mathbf{\tilde{I}G}$ for each multipath arrival — corresponding to $\lfloor \tau_k/T_c \rfloor$ and $\lceil \tau_k/T_c \rceil$.

Simulations

→ 4 multipaths, one at 0, other 3 uniformly distributed within $10 \ \mu s$, but at least $T_c = 0.27 \ \mu s$ apart.

→ Dimension of projected filter is now $2 \times 7 = 14$.







Future Work : Structured Projected Equalizers

- ▶ Incorporate delay estimation in a blind or semi-blind fashion
 - → Multipath delays change relatively slowly compared to the complex gains
 - → CDMA mobile receivers perform block serial search the coherent correlations are combined in energy
 - \rightarrow Synchronous sum signal has a gain of $10\log(64) \approx 18$ dB
 - \rightarrow Multiple antennas at the receiver provide diversity
 - \rightarrow If estimates are "noisy", we can take 2/3 consecutive columns of **G** centered on estimated delays
- ★ Sample at twice chip-rate to improve performance with random arrival times
- \blacksquare Implement real-time, low-complexity estimation of \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{-1}



Space-Time Coding

- * Transmit diversity scheme using multiple transmit antennas and spreading the user's symbols across time and space.
- * We will investigate space-time spreading using multiple transmit and receive antennas without significantly increasing the processing complexity.
- * Design linear receivers for space-time coding that will perform better than Rake.



Frequency-Domain Processing

- * For systems operating at 2 GHz, there is a strong potential for time and frequency selectivity in the channels.
- * In rapidly varying channels with high delay-spread, processing space-time block codes completely in the frequency-domain can be very effective
- * Data-blocks are transformed into the frequency domain via FFT and then equalization is performed in the frequency domain.
- * Advantages very low complexity growth with block size N, faster convergence and robustness.



Decision-Directed/Multi-User Detection

- * How to optimally use the 'detected' symbols to improve performance "hard" vs. "soft" feedback.
- * Successive and Parallel Interference Cancellation have been proposed to combat MAI.
- * Multi-user detection combined with space-time processing yields substantial gain over single-user based methods.
- * Iterative linear and non-linear MUD schemes approach optimum performance with reasonable complexity



Conclusions

- Reduced-rank MMSE equalizers obtained via MSNWF demonstrate near full-rank performance after only a few stages.
- The convergence speed of MSNWF is similar to full-rank RLS, and has better performance with low sample support.
- The block-adaptive MSNWF can be implemented with very low complexity
- *[≈]* The MSNWF is promising for time-varying channels.



Conclusions

- Structured equalizers exploit the sparseness of the multipath channel to substantially reduce the number of parameters.
- The convergence rate of structured MMSE equalizer was significantly better than unstructured MSNWF operating in a subspace of similar rank.
- Structured equalizer showed excellent convergence even when the underlying assumption was not accurate.



49