Supporting Information for:

## Why In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Can Make 0.7 nm Atomic Layer Thin Transistors?

Mengwei Si<sup>1</sup>, Yaoqiao Hu<sup>2</sup>, Zehao Lin<sup>1</sup>, Xing Sun<sup>3</sup>, Adam Charnas<sup>1</sup>, Dongqi Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Xiao Lyu<sup>1</sup>, Haiyan Wang<sup>3</sup>, Kyeongjae Cho<sup>2</sup>, and Peide D. Ye<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States

<sup>2</sup>Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA

<sup>3</sup>School of Materials Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States

\* Address correspondence to: yep@purdue.edu (P.D.Y.)

## 1. TLM Measurements on Thick ALD In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>



**Figure S1.** TLM measurement of 8.5 nm In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> on 90 nm SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate with 80 nm Ni contacts, exhibiting R<sub>C</sub> of 0.36  $\Omega \cdot$  mm, R<sub>sh</sub> of 1333  $\Omega/\Box$  and  $\rho_c$  of 1.0×10<sup>-6</sup>  $\Omega/cm^2$ .

2. The Impact of TNL Alignments



Figure S2. Energy level with respect to  $E_C$  versus trap density for  $In_2O_3$  with different TNL locations at (a) TNL far above  $E_C$ , (b) TNL deeply below  $E_C$  and (c) TNL near  $E_C$ .

## 3. Infinity Quantum Well Model on TNL Alignments



**Figure S3.** Conduction band minimum of  $In_2O_3$  at different thicknesses from 0.7 nm to bulk. TNL alignments are modulated by the thickness of  $In_2O_3$  due to quantum confinement.

In In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> transistor structure as shown in Fig 1(a), the semiconducting In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is sandwiched by insulating Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and air, so that electron transport in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> behaves like 2D electron gas in an infinity quantum well, as also shown in Fig. S3. Considering the ground state energy in an infinity quantum well, the change of E<sub>C</sub> by quantum confinement can be written as  $\Delta E_C = \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2m^* T_{ch}^2}$ . The effective mass (m\*) of electron in In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is about 0.33m<sub>e</sub>, where m<sub>e</sub> is electron rest mass.<sup>1,2</sup> Thus, thickness-dependent  $\Delta E_C$  with respect to TNL can be calculated, as shown in Fig. S3. Since TNL is the intrinsic property of the material so that it is independent on the channel thickness. As can be seen, this simple model shows TNL moves deeper inside bandgap while decreasing the T<sub>ch</sub>, resulting in the reduction of carrier density and positive V<sub>T</sub> shift, which agrees well with the experimental data and DFT calculation.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Hamberg, I.; Granqvist, C. G. Evaporated Sn-doped In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films: Basic optical properties and applications to energy-efficient windows. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1986**, *60*, R123.
- (2) Preissler, N.; Bierwagen, O.; Ramu, A. T.; Speck, J. S. Electrical Transport, Electrothermal Transport, and Effective Electron Mass in Single-Crystalline In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Films. *Phys. Rev. B* 2013, *88*, 085305.